

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MICHAEL WILLIAMS,
 Plaintiff(s),

vs.

DWIGHT W. NEVEN, et al.,
 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:15-cv-01327-GMN-NJK

NOTICE

Upon receiving notice from another chambers of a potential scheduling conflict, the Court rescheduled the settlement conference in this case. Docket No. 38. The Court issues this notice to separately explain that the manner in which Defendants relayed that information to the undersigned was not proper. The proper mechanism for bringing a potential scheduling conflict to the Court's attention is to file a written request to continue the settlement conference to a different date that explains the circumstances giving rise to the scheduling conflict *See, e.g.*, Docket No. 38 at 1 n.1 ("Any request to change the date of the settlement conference must be made in writing and must be filed within 7 days of the issuance of this order"). Contacting another judge's chambers to ask them to expend their resources to explain to the undersigned's staff that a scheduling conflict exists is not proper.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 25, 2017


 Nancy J. Koppe
 United States Magistrate Judge